



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,697	03/01/2002	Richard Franz	D-2998	4890
33197 7.	590 02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
STOUT, UXA, BUYAN & MULLINS LLP			SANDERS JR, JOHN R	
4 VENTURE, SUITE 300 IRVINE, CA 92618			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
. ,			3737	

DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/087,697 FRANZ ET AL. Office Action Summary Framiner Art Unit					
Office Action Summany					
Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit					
John R. Sanders 3737					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 November 2004.					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 2-4,6-16,18-25,28,29 and 31-33 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
☑ Claim(s) <u>2-4,6-16,18-25,28,29 and 31-33</u> is/are rejected.					
Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 April 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/087,697 Page 2

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 2-4, 6-16, 18-25, 28-29, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy, of record, in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,112,224 to Peifer et al. ("Peifer"), and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,080,106 to Lloyd et al. ("Lloyd"). Kennedy in view of Peifer:
- 4. Kennedy discloses a system and method for eye screening (FIG. 7) wherein a remote exam module (composed of a device to record corneal and retinal reflections, a data entry device, and a control system) collects eye images from the patient. This information is transmitted to the central analysis facility via a communication link (col. 6, line 57 col. 7, line 6). The central analysis facility comprises a record database and means for displaying the images for skilled specialists (col. 2, line 47- col. 3, line 10; col. 7, line 31- col. 8, line 11).
- 5. Kennedy does not necessarily or expressly disclose a plurality of different examination devices or the central analysis facility directing the examination.
- 6. Peifer discloses a remote exam module 50 comprising a plurality of medical devices 21-23, a control unit 11 and interface 17 for controlling the medical devices to obtain diagnostic

Application/Control Number: 10/087,697

Art Unit: 3737

measurements of a patient (fig. 2). Peifer discloses monitoring stations 54, 56, and 58 that send command information to said remote modules and act as analysis centers for receiving information from the medical devices (col. 6, lines 26-34). Peifer discloses the patient and the health care professional communicating through network 52 "via any one or more combinations of voice, video and data." Peifer discloses the remote exam module having medical devices "implemented in numerous ways including, but not limited to, blood pressure devices, thermometers, pulse oximetry devices, electrocardiograms (EKGs), scales, stethoscopes, or any

Page 3

7. Kennedy and Peifer are analogous art as they are both directed expressly to remote medical examinations and communications between an analysis center and remote examination modules. One of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to combine Kennedy and Peifer to arrive at Applicant's invention.

other diagnostic or data acquisition equipment." (col. 4, lines 34-38).

- 8. Firstly, where Kennedy does not expressly disclose a plurality of examination devices in the remote module or the central analysis facility directing the medical devices, Peifer expressly teaches multiple medical devices in remote system 50 being remotely operable by a monitoring station 54.
- 9. Secondly, where Kennedy does not expressly disclose real-time teleconferencing, Peifer teaches one or more combinations of voice, video, and data, which, by the standard of the current state-of-the-art usage of Internet resources, would obviously be conducted in real-time and inherently require the use of an exam console.
- 10. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Kennedy to include examination with a plurality of eye examination

Application/Control Number: 10/087,697

Art Unit: 3737

instruments in order to collect multiple eye measurements, as well as to remotely control said instruments as taught by Peifer, the reasons and motivations for doing so discussed above and in further detail by both references.

- 11. Regarding claims 4 and 25 the system of Kennedy is capable of obtaining information relating to both retinal and corneal reflections, i.e. fundus information, retinal information, corneal topography, and refraction information.
- 12. Regarding claims 7-9, Kennedy discloses remote data entry via a touch screen and/or voice recognition (col. 8, lines 12-38) and a questionnaire (col. 14, lines 2-5).
- 13. Regarding claims 10, 15 and 24, Kennedy discloses posting relevant patient information on the web (col. 7, lines 2-6).
- 14. Regarding claim 11, digital signals are inherent as a part of Internet data transmission.
- 15. Regarding claim 13, 14 and 21, Kennedy discloses creation and retrieval of examination records (col. 7, lines 14-21). It is obvious to update a patient record to reflect newly acquired information, as the purpose of a patient record is to contain an up-to-date history of the patient's examinations.
- 16. Regarding claim 16 and 22, Kennedy discloses sending a report to the patient via the communication link (col. 2, lines 47-65).

Further in view of Lloyd:

17. Peifer discloses a monitoring station and the acquisition and control of data to and from said monitoring station, and Kennedy discloses a patient record database (remotely accessible by a health care profession located at a monitoring station) and record manipulation techniques as

Art Unit: 3737

previously discussed, but neither expressly discloses a patient record database located at the monitoring station.

- 18. Lloyd teaches a remote patient interface connected via communication link **60** to a monitoring station **70** comprising an exam console and patient database/data archive (fig. 1).
- 19. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Kennedy in view of Peifer to include a patient database located at the examiner's location as taught by Lloyd, in order for the examiner to have immediate access to the data.
- 20. Claims 2-4, 6-16, 18-25, 28-29, and 31-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peifer in view of Kennedy, of record, and further in view of Lloyd.
- 21. It is possible for the proceeding rejection to be restated as a modification of Peifer in view of Kennedy. Peifer discloses the above limitations but does not expressly disclose the medical devices 21-23 as being eye examination devices or the central monitoring stations 54, 56, and 58 remotely commanding the medical devices to perform specifically an eye examination.
- 22. In this case, it would have been obvious to modify Peifer to include eye examination apparatuses as the medical devices and modify the monitoring stations to control the eye examination, as taught by Kennedy.
- 23. It would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the patient and central monitoring stations Peifer to include the further limitations to Kennedy and Lloyd as described above.

Application/Control Number: 10/087,697

Art Unit: 3737

Conclusion

24. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Murphy '611 and Levitas et al. '371 disclose remote diagnostic apparatuses with

multiple exam devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to John R. Sanders whose telephone number is (571) 272-4742.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 am to 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

BRIAN L. CASLER

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 6

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700